Risultati recenti dello studio sulle collisioni In-In all'SPS del CERN

IIº Congressino della Sezione INFN di Torino

Sommario:

- dimuon and charm production results obtained by NA38 and NA50
- the NA60 experiment
- first look into open charm production in Indium-Indium collisions
- J/ψ production in Indium-Indium collisions
- Low mass dimuon spectrum

Alessandro Ferretti Universita' di Torino e INFN

Torino, 16 Dicembre 2004

QGP phase transition and dilepton production

QCD predicts that, above a critical temperature or energy density, strongly interacting matter undergoes a phase transition to a new state where the quarks and gluons are no longer confined in hadrons, and chiral symmetry is restored.

Since 1986, many experiments, probing high-energy nuclear collisions at the CERN SPS, searched for this phase transition guided by theory-driven signatures. Some these required measuring lepton pairs and motivated NA38, CERES, HELIOS-3 and NA50:

- the production of thermal dimuons directly emitted from the new phase, if in thermal equilibrium
- the **suppression of strongly bound heavy quarkonia states** dissolved when certain *critical thresholds* are exceeded
- changes in the ρ spectral function (mass shifts, broadening, disappearance) when chiral symmetry restoration is approached

The NA50 experiment



Intermediate mass dimuon production seen by NA38/NA50

The yield of intermediate mass dimuons in heavy-ion collisions (S-U, Pb-Pb) exceeds the sum of Drell-Yan and D meson decays, which describes the proton data



Charm enhancement or thermal dimuons ?

The intermediate mass dimuon yields can be reproduced :

- by scaling up the charm contribution by up to a factor of 3
 - \rightarrow crucial to understand J/ ψ suppression: same initial state (gluons)
- or by adding thermal radiation to the DY and open charm explicitly introducing a QGP phase at T_c = 175 MeV (Rapp & Shuryak, Gale)
 → would be a direct evidence of thermalization of the pre-hadronization phase



J/ψ suppression from p-A to Pb-Pb collisions

J/ψ production has been extensively studied in pA, SU and Pb-Pb collisions
 ⇒ the J/ψ is suppressed in Pb-Pb collisions
 with respect to the yields extrapolated from proton-nucleus data



J/ ψ suppression in central Pb-Pb collisions

J/ψ suppression in different systems



Study of the J/ ψ production as a function of L, the length of nuclear matter crossed by the J/ ψ :

• In light systems and peripheral Pb-Pb collisions the J/ ψ absorption scales with L, which is probably governing the "normal absorption"

In central Pb-Pb collisions the L scaling is broken and an anomalous suppression sets in direct J/ ψ ~ 60% J/ ψ from χ_c decay < 30% J/ ψ from ψ ' decay ~ 10%



Low mass dimuon seen by CERES



- > Is the ρ meson modified by the medium produced in nuclear collisions?
- Because of chiral symmetry restoration?
- ⇒ New measurement with high statistics, good signal to background ratio and dimuon mass resolution

Specific questions that remain open

intermediate mass region

Is the intermediate mass excess due to thermal dimuons from a QGP? or is the open charm yield enhanced in nucleusnucleus collisions?

 \Rightarrow Measure secondary vertices with ~ 50 μm precision, to separate prompt dimuons from D meson decays

high mass region

 What is the impact of the χ_c feed-down on the observed J/ψ suppression pattern?
 ⇒ Study the nuclear dependence of χ_c production in p-A collisions

What is the physics variable driving the J/ψ suppression? L, N_{part}, energy density?
 ⇒ Measure the J/ψ suppression pattern in Indium-Indium and compare it with Pb-Pb

low mass region

- Which is the origin of the dielectron excess below the r mass (disappearance of the ρ, mass shifts, broadening)?
 ⇒ Increase statistics, mass
- resolution and S/B ratio. Study the excess as a function of centrality

New and accurate measurements are needed





The NA60 experiment

http://cern.ch/na60

Idea: place a *high granularity* and *radiation-hard* **silicon tracking telescope** in the vertex region to measure the muons before they suffer multiple scattering and energy loss in the absorber



R. Arnaldi, R. Averbeck, K. Banicz, K. Borer, J. Buytaert, J. Castor, B. Chaurand, W. Chen,
B. Cheynis, C. Cicalò, A. Colla, P. Cortese, S. Damjanović, A. David, A. de Falco, N. de Marco,
A. Devaux, A. Drees, L. Ducroux, H. En'yo, A. Ferretti, M. Floris, P. Force, A. Grigorian, J.Y. Grossiord,
N. Guettet, A. Guichard, H. Gulkanian, J. Heuser, M. Keil, L. Kluberg, Z. Li, C. Lourenço,
J. Lozano, F. Manso, P. Martins, A. Masoni, A. Neves, H. Ohnishi, C. Oppedisano, P. Parracho, P. Pillot,
G. Puddu, E. Radermacher, P. Ramalhete, P. Rosinsky, E. Scomparin, J. Seixas, S. Serci, R. Shahoyan,
P. Sonderegger, H.J. Specht, R. Tieulent, E. Tveiten, G. Usai, H. Vardanyan, R. Veenhof and H. Wöhri

NA60's detector concept



The NA60 target region in 2003

2.5 T dipole magnet



Pixel detectors



Beam Tracker

Two stations of 50 μm pitch micro-strip detectors operated at 130 K \rightarrow increased radiation hardness

~ 100 pixel detectors (radiation tolerant) in 12 tracking points; cells = $50 \times 425 \,\mu m^2$



The Indium run



How to measure open charm in NA60 ?

Lifetime of the D mesons:

 $D^+: c\tau = 312 \ \mu m$ $D^\circ: c\tau = 123 \ \mu m$

Select muons from $D \rightarrow \mu + X$ which do not converge to the interaction vertex.

This requires:

- precise knowledge of the vertex position
- good resolution on the track impact point at the vertex plane

Inverse: picking only muons strictly converging to the vertex we select prompt dimuons

Muon Track Matching

Matching between the muons in the Muon Spectrometer and the tracks in the Vertex Telescope is done estimating the weighted distance (χ^2) in slopes and inverse momenta.

If a certain fraction of muons is matched to closest non-muon tracks a source of background is introduced: fake matches \Rightarrow deteriorates kinematics and offset resolution.





Fake matches are subtracted by a mixed events technique: the muons are matched to tracks from different events (work in progress...)

In the present study the fakes are not subtracted

Combinatorial Background from $\pi, K \rightarrow \mu$ decays

Muons coming from π and k decays are another source of background: the combinatorial background

Subtracted by mixed event technique, building a sample of $\mu\mu$ pairs using muons from different events.



The technique may be controlled by comparing the built **mixed** event Like Sign dimuon spectra to the corresponding measured **data**.



Vertexing

• Robust algorithm resolves multiple vertices (provided they are on different targets)

 Good target identification even for the most peripheral collisions (≥ 4 tracks)



Z-vertex of the interaction determined by the pixel telescope with $\sim 200 \ \mu m$ accuracy

Vertex transverse coordinates determined with better than 20 μ m accuracy from the pixel telescope and beam tracker

Beam Tracker measurement vs. reconstructed vertex



Measuring the muon track offset at the vertex

Offsets: ΔX , ΔY between the vertex and the track impact point in the transverse plane at Z_{vertex} .

Resolution depends on track momentum





Fake matches tend to have large offsets: they degrade the charm selection capability.

Problem will be solved once their subtraction is under control

Prompt versus offsetted dimuon separation



Cut on the weighted offset of the muon closest to the vertex

Additional cut on weighted distance, Δ , between muons at Z_V to reduce influence of bad vertices





Prompt versus offsetted dimuon separation



J/ψ production in Indium-Indium: event selection

A clean sample of events is obtained with the following requirements, profiting from the accurate determination of the interaction point and of the dimuon vertex:

- The interaction must take place in one of the seven targets; the Z-vertex of the collision is determined by the pixel telescope
- The dimuon must be in the phase space window:
 -0.5 < cosθ_{CS} < 0.5 & 2.92 < y_{LAB} < 3.92
- The matching between the muon spectrometer and the vertex telescope tracks can also be required with the following advantages/disadvantages:

Without the muon track matching:

- 🙂 we keep more statistics
- we use quality cuts on the muon spectrometer data to identify dimuons produced in the target region

With the muon track matching:

- ${\displaystyle \textcircled{\scriptsize \scriptsize :}}$ we lose statistics
- co the mass resolution improves
- we can use the vertex of the dimuon in the event selection, to keep only dimuons produced in Indium-Indium collisions
- 🙂 we reduce the combinatorial background



High mass dimuon spectra before and after muon track matching between the Muon Spectrometer and the Vertex Telescope



- [•] the combinatorial background decreases from ~3% to ~1% in the J/ ψ region
- out-of-target events are rejected

➡ cleaner spectrum

J/ψ production in Indium-Indium collisions



Dimuon data from the 6500 A event sample

Combinatorial background from π and K decays estimated from the measured like-sign pairs

Signal mass shapes from Monte Carlo:

- PYTHIA and MRS A (Low Q²) parton densities
- GEANT 3.21 for detector simulation
- reconstructed as the measured data

Acceptances from Monte Carlo simulation:
for J/ψ : 12.4 %

• for DY : 13.4 % (in mass window 2.9–4.5 GeV)

A multi-step fit (max likelihood) is performed: a) M > 4.2 GeV: normalize the DY b) 2.2 < M < 2.5 GeV: normalize the charm (with DY fixed) c) 2.9 < M < 4.2 GeV: get the J/ ψ yield (with DY & charm fixed) (with DY & charm fixed) (with DY & charm fixed)

$J/\psi/DY$

From the J/ ψ and Drell-Yan yields obtained from the previous fit, after the acceptance corrections, we extract the J/ ψ / DY cross-section ratio.

The Drell-Yan cross-section must be defined in a given mass window. We choose the region 2.9 < $M_{\mu\mu}$ < 4.5 GeV, so that our value can be directly compared with previous NA50 results. The value is

 $B \sigma(J/\psi) / \sigma(DY) = 19.2 \pm 1.2$

Stability checks

In order to evaluate the sensitivity of our result to the data analysis procedure, we have redone it, changing several steps. We found that the result is almost insensitive to (reasonable) changes in the background normalization, different event selection criteria and different fitting procedures. Systematical uncertainties are still under study but a value around 5% seems to be within reach.

Furthermore, the analysis of the dimuon mass spectra after muon track matching leads to essentially the same numerical values.



Looking for the physics behind the suppression

The study of the J/ ψ suppression pattern as a function of different centrality variables, including data from different collision systems, should allow us to understand which is the physics variable driving the disappearance of the J/ ψ

In the absence of "new physics", the J/ ψ suppression patterns measured in different collision systems should overlap when plotted as a function of L (it is the case between p-A and S-U).

If the J/ ψ is suppressed because of a geometrical phase transition, such as percolation, the scaling variable should be proportional to N_{part}



If, on the other hand, the J/ψ is dissolved by a thermal medium, the QGP, the physics variable should be the (local) energy density.





For instance, for L \sim 7 fm, S-U, In-In and Pb-Pb collisions probe different values of N_{part}, ranging from 80 to 130

- → If the physics-driving variable is L, the three systems will overlap
- → If the physics-driving variable is N_{part}, the three systems will show a different pattern

The values of L and N_{part}, integrated over all the centralities, are extracted from a Glauber calculation which fits the E_{ZDC} spectrum



regions that will be exploited by the centrality study in Indium-Indium collisions

Signal spectrum in the low mass region



- \succ Similar ω statistics

> The $\eta \rightarrow \mu\mu$ channel is also visible (for the first time in nuclear collisions)





Very good agreement between the In-In and Pb-Pb colliding systems $\rightarrow N_{part}$ seems to be the appropriate scaling variable for ω and ϕ production

- The NA50 $\phi/(\rho+\omega)_{\mu\mu}$ published values were corrected for BR, assuming $\rho/\omega = 1$, and extrapolated from $m_T > 1.5$ GeV to $p_T > 1.1$ GeV using T = 228 MeV
- The NA60 systematic uncertainties are expected to be < 10%

$T(\phi)$: NA60 versus NA50 and NA49



Systematic errors still under investigation Expected to be less than 10 MeV



Summary and outlook

We presented the cross section ratio J/ψ / DY in Indium-Indium collisions, integrated over all centralities, together with first results of a feasibility study of the intermediate and low mass region of the dimuon spectrum

To better understand the heavy-ion results, a solid reference baseline from proton-nucleus data is needed

In autumn 2004, NA60 has taken data with 400 GeV protons incident on 7 different nuclear targets, at high beam intensities (~ 2×10^9 p/burst)

The expected statistics is of the order of

 $\sim 500~000~J/\psi$ similar amount of open charm at 1.2 < M < 2.7 GeV/c²

NA60 has also taken a small sample of proton-nucleus data at 158 GeV, in order to extract the normal nuclear absorption of the J/ψ at the energy of the heavy ion data

Summary and future perspectives

A total of ~1 million signal low mass dimuons, from In-In collisions, after muon track matching.

About 35% of this statistics has been analysed by now.

- 23 MeV dimuon mass resolution at the ϕ mass
- good signal to background ratio
- First results on:

the ϕ/ω cross section ratio the inverse slope parameter T of the ϕ the ϕ mass

... as a function of centrality

What's next:

- > Analysis of the full data sample
- Fake matches subtraction

continuum physics in the low mass and intermediate mass region